

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING		FILING DATE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,745		07/28/2003		023484-0151	3816	
22428	759	0 04/26/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER				CORRIGAN, JAIME W		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				3748		
				DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/627,745	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Jaime W Corrigan	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
• •	/ IC CET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	C) EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	esecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 14</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	· ·		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 13</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	·	ed in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		od.	
		· ·	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-5-05</u> .	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed on 04 February 2005. Claims 2-3, 12, 14 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-10, 12, 14 are pending in this application. The arguments with respect to the references applied in the first Office Action were deemed persuasive, however, a new Non-final rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-2, 4-10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grau (PN 6,615,777).

Regarding claim 1 Grau discloses a variable-valve-actuation (VVA) apparatus (See Figure 1 (3)) for an internal combustion engine (See Abstract) with a valve, comprising: a control shaft (See Figure 1 (1)) arranged rotatable in accordance with operating conditions of the engine; an alteration mechanism (See Figure 1 (4)) which changes at least an operating angle (See Abstract, Column 3 Lines 22-45, Column 4 Lines 7-24) of the valve in accordance with rotation of the control shaft; and a drive mechanism (See Figure 1 (7)) which rotates the control shaft, the drive mechanism

Art Unit: 3748

comprising an electric motor (See Figure 1 (7)) and a reduction mechanism (See Figure 1 (5), (8)), the reduction mechanism having a reduction ratio set to be larger when the valve is under control of small operating angle than when the valve is under control of large operating angle (See Figure 1 (1), (2), (5), (8)).

Regarding claim 2 Grau discloses an output shaft (See Figure 1 (12)) linked to the motor and having at an outer periphery an engagement (See Figure 1 (9)); a moving member (See Figure 1 (8)) meshed with the engagement of the output shaft, the moving member moving in an axial direction (Column 4 Lines 7-24) of the output shaft in accordance with rotation of the output shaft; a link member (See Figure 1 (5)) having a first end (See Figure 1 (11)) swingably linked to the moving member; and a linkage (See Figure 1 (4)) swingably linked to a second end (See Figure 1 near (10)) of the link member, the linkage rotating the control shaft by torque transmitted from the link member in accordance with axial movement of the moving member (See Abstract, Column 3 Lines 22-45, Column 4 Lines 7-24), wherein when the valve is under control of small operating angle, an angle formed between the link member and the output shaft is increased (See Figure 1 (1), (2), (5), (8)).

Regarding claim 4 Grau discloses the output shaft (See Figure 1 (12)) of the reduction mechanism (See Figure 1 (5), (8)) comprises a threaded shaft having an external (See Figure 1 (9)) thread formed on an outer peripheral surface, and the moving member comprises a threaded nut (See Figure 1 (8)) having an internal thread

Art Unit: 3748

formed on an inner peripheral surface, wherein the external thread is meshed with the internal thread.

Regarding claim 5 Grau discloses the output shaft of the reduction mechanism comprises a threaded shaft (See Figure 1 (9)) having a spiral ball groove formed in an outer peripheral surface, and the moving member comprises a threaded nut (See Figure 1 (8)) having a guide ball groove formed in an inner peripheral surface, wherein the ball groove cooperates with the guide ball groove to hold a plurality of balls in a free-roll manner.

Regarding claim 6 Grau discloses the linkage (See Figure 1 (4)) of the reduction mechanism is fixed to the control shaft (See Figure 1 (1)), wherein a pivotal point of the linkage with the link member is offset (See Figure 2 (4), (5)) with respect to an axis of the control shaft.

Regarding claim 7 Grau discloses when the valve is under control of maximum operating angle, the angle formed between the link member and the output shaft is minimum (See Figure 1 (5), (12)).

Regarding claim 8 Grau discloses when the valve is under control of minimum operating angle, the angle formed between the link member and the output shaft is maximum (See Figure 1 (5), (12)).

Regarding claim 9 Grau discloses a restriction mechanism which restricts maximum axial movement of the moving member (See Figure 1 (13)).

Page 5

Regarding claim 10 Grau discloses the moving member (See Figure 1 (8)) is moved axially without being rotated (See Abstract, Column 3 Lines 22-45, Column 4 Lines 7-24).

Regarding claim 12 Grau discloses a control shaft (See Figure 1 (1)) arranged rotatable in accordance with operating conditions of the engine (See Abstract); an alteration mechanism (See Figure 1 (4)) which changes at least an operating angle of the valve in accordance with rotation of the control shaft (See Abstract, Column 3 Lines 22-45, Column 4 Lines 7-24); and a drive mechanism (See Figure 1 (7)) which rotates the control shaft, the drive mechanism comprising an electric motor (See Figure 1 (7)) and a reduction mechanism (See Figure 1 (5), (8)), the reduction mechanism having a reduction ratio set to be larger (See Figure 1 (1), (2), (5), (8)) when the valve is under control of small operating angle than when the valve is under control of large operating angle, the reduction mechanism comprising: an output shaft (See Figure 1 (12)) linked to the motor and having at an outer periphery an engagement (See Figure 1 (9)); a moving member (See Figure 1 (8)) meshed with the engagement of the output shaft, the moving member moving in an axial direction (Column 4 Lines 7-24) of the output shaft in accordance with rotation of the output shaft; a link member (See Figure 1 (5)) having a first end (See Figure 1 near (11)) swingably linked to the moving member; and a

linkage (See Figure 1 (4)) swingably linked to a second end of the link member, the linkage rotating the control shaft by torque transmitted from the link member in accordance with axial movement of the moving member (See Abstract, Column 3 Lines 22-45, Column 4 Lines 7-24), wherein when the valve is under control of small operating angle, an angle formed between the link member and the output shaft is increased (See Figure 1 (1), (2), (5), (8)).

Page 6

Regarding claim 14 Grau discloses a control shaft (See Figure 1 (1)) arranged rotatable in accordance with operating conditions of the engine (See Abstract); means (See Figure 1 (8), (5), (1), (2), (4)) for changing at least an operating angle of the valve in accordance with rotation (See Abstract, Column 3 Lines 22-45, Column 4 Lines 7-24) of the control shaft; and means for rotating the control shaft, the rotating means comprising means (See Figure 1 (7), (12), (5), (8)) for providing torque and means for reducing (See Column 2 Lines 64-67, Column 3 Lines 1-3) the torque, the reducing means having a reduction ratio set to be larger (See Figure 1 (1), (2), (5), (8)) when the valve is under control of small operating angle than when the valve is under control of large operating angle.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Murata et al. (PN 5,778,840), Regueiro (PN 6,167,854) disclose similar variable valve devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Jaime Corrigan whose Carlyle telephone number is (571) 272-4858. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 8:30 a.m. – 6:00 p.m. 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,745

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3700.

April 22, 2005

JC

Jaime Corrigan

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748

THOMAS DENION SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

Page 8